# Productivity of crossbred Large White Yorkshire Pigs (SVVU-T17)

# B. Ramya Naidu<sup>1</sup>, B. Punya Kumari<sup>1\*</sup>, P. Guru Vishnu<sup>2</sup>, D. Suresh Babu<sup>3</sup>, G Sushma<sup>1</sup> and U. Vinod<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary Science; <sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, Animal Husbandry Polytechnic; <sup>4</sup>Department of Livestock Production and Management, College of Veterinary Science, SVVU-Tirupati: 517502

Journal of Livestock Science (ISSN online 2277-6214) 16: 638-643 Received on 25/2/25; Accepted on 18/11/25; Published on 27/11/25 doi. 10.33259/JLivestSci.2025.638-643

#### **Abstract**

Data recorded on productive (4011 records) traits of 75% crossbred Large White Yorkshire (SVVU-T17) Pigs from 2005 to 2020 (16 years) at All India Coordinated Research Project (ICAR-AICRP) on pigs, Tirupati, Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh were utilized for the present study. The overall least squares mean body weights were  $1.09 \pm 0.003$ ,  $1.54\pm0.004$ ,  $2.78\pm0.009$ ,  $4.11\pm0.013$ ,  $5.44\pm0.017$ ,  $6.38\pm0.017$ ,  $7.38\pm0.02$ ,  $8.48\pm0.024$ ,  $9.85\pm0.03$ , 14.5,  $7\pm0.06$ ,  $20.49\pm0.09$ ,  $29.13\pm0.13$  and  $35.94\pm0.17$  kg at birth (BW0), BW1, BW2, BW3, BW4, BW5, BW6, BW7, BW8, BW12, BW16, BW20 and BW24 weeks of age, respectively. Period, Season of birth and inbreeding had significant influence (P<0.05) on body weights at all ages. Parity had significant influence on body weights at birth (BW0), BW7, BW8 and BW12 weeks of age only. Sex of the piglet had significant influence on body weights at birth (BW0), BW1, BW2, BW3 and BW4 weeks of age only. Body weights of piglets born in rainy and winter seasons recorded significantly higher body weights.

**Key words:** Cross bred Large White Yorkshire; Least squares mean; Parity; Pig

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author email: <u>punya67@yahoo.co.in</u>

## Introduction

Pig rearing is increasing as a source of main as well as secondary income generation for farmers in both tribal hilly tract (Muhindro Singh, 2023) as well as plains (Borah et al 2022, Nachare et al 2024). Majority of pig population (about 80 percent) in India is of Indigenous pigs with low productivity and better adaptability to the primitive level of management. The superior germplasm of improved exotic pig breeds like Large White Yorkshire (LWY), Landrace, Duroc, Tamworth and Hampshire were introduced in our country to improve the productivity of indigenous pigs through crossbreeding/grading up. Cross breeding is a useful tool for increasing the efficiency of swine production in the country. Among all the exotic breeds, Large White Yorkshire (LWY) is the most commonly used breed in crossbreeding programmes in various parts of country because of its inherent superior traits viz., faster growth, high prolificacy, larger litter size (Jayarajan 1985), efficient mothering ability, economic feed conversion efficiency and shorter generation interval. Crossbreeding with exotic breeds has been initiated under the aegis of All India Coordinated Research Project on Pigs for last few decades to augment the production levels of indigenous pigs. Performance of the crossbred pigs under local agro climatic conditions needs to be assessed periodically. Hence, the present study was undertaken to assess the productive performance of farm bred 75% crossbred LWY Pigs.

# **Materials and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out on farm bred 75% crossbred LWY Pigs (SVVU-T17), maintained at the All India Coordinated Research Project on pigs, Tirupati, Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh. The data on 4011 production records (from 2005 to 2020) were utilized to assess the effect of various genetic and nongenetic factors including inbreeding on productive traits. Genetic parameters and correlations were estimated for the traits under study. The production traits studied were body weights at birth (BW0), BW1, BW2, BW3, BW4, BW5, BW6, BW7, BW8, BW12, BW16, BW20 and BW24 weeks of age.

#### **Productive traits**

The Productive traits studied were

- ➤ Birth weight: Body weight of individual piglet at birth in kg (BW0)
- ➤ Weaning weight: Body weight of individual piglet at weaning i.e. 56 days (8 weeks) of age in kg (BW8)
- ➤ Pre weaning weekly body weights from first week to seventh week of age (BW1, BW2, BW3, BW4, BW5, BW6 and BW7) and post weaning monthly body weights from 8 to 24 weeks of age i.e. body weights at 12 (BW12), 16 (BW16), 20 (BW20) and 24 (BW24) weeks of age Period of birth, season of birth, sex of piglet, parity and inbreeding were considered as fixed effects for all production traits.

#### Statistical models

```
Productive traits
```

```
\begin{split} Y_{ijklmn} &= \mu + P_i + Q_j + R_k + S_l + T_m +_{eijklmn} \\ Where, \\ Y_{ijklmn} &= Observation \ on \ the \ trait \ of \ the \ nth \ animal \ belonging \ to \ i^{th} \ period \ of \ birth, \\ j^{th} \ season \ of \ birth, \ k^{th}sex, \ l^{th} \ parity \ of \ birth, \ mth \ inbreeding \ class \\ \mu &= Overall \ mean \\ P_i &= Effect \ of \ i^{th} \ period \ of \ birth \ (i = 1 \ to \ 4) \\ (P1 &= 2005 \ to \ 2008, \ P2 &= 2009 \ to \ 2012, \ P3 &= 2013 \ to \ 2016 \ and \ P4 &= 2017 \ to \ 2020) \\ Q_j &= Effect \ of \ j^{th} \ season \ of \ birth \ (j = 1 \ to \ 3) \\ (S1 &= \ March \ to \ June, \ S2 &= \ July \ to \ October, \ S3 &= \ November \ to \ February) \\ R_k &= Effect \ of \ k^{th} \ sex \ of \ piglet \ (k = 1 \ to \ 2)(Male: \ 1, \ Female: \ 2) \\ S_l &= Effect \ of \ l^{th} \ parity \ of \ its \ dam \ at \ farrowing \ (l = 1 \ to \ 2) \\ T_m &= Effect \ of \ m^{th} inbreeding \ class \ (m = 1 \ to \ 3; \ G1 &= Fx = 0, \ G2 &= Fx = 0 \ to \ 3, \ G3 &= Fx \ => 3.0) \\ e^{ijklmn} &= \ Random \ errors \ associated \ with \ each \ observation, \ NID \ (0, \ \sigma2e) \end{split}
```

#### **Results and Discussion**

The results obtained from the analysis of data on the production traits in 75% LWY crossbred (SVVU-T17) pigs maintained at ICAR-AICRP on Pigs, Tirupati revealed significant effect of Period and season of birth on bodyweights at all ages.

# **Productive Traits**

#### **Body weights**

The overall least squares means for body weights at birth (BW0), BW1, BW2, BW3, BW4, BW5, BW6, BW7, BW8, BW12, BW16, BW20 and BW24 weeks of age were  $1.09\pm0.003, 1.54\pm0.004, 2.78\pm0.009, 4.11\pm0.013, 5.44\pm0.017, 6.38\pm0.017, 7.38\pm0.020, 8.48\pm0.024, 9.85\pm0.033, 14.57\pm0.06, 20.49\pm0.09, 29.13\pm0.13$  and  $35.94\pm0.17$  kg, respectively (Table 1). The mean body weights recorded in the present study are in well agreement with the findings of Reddy et al., 2013; Chaudhary et al., 2020 in Crossbred LWY pigs, but lower than the means

	1		1			1
Trait	N	Range	Mean $\pm$ S.E.	Variance	SD	CV (%)
BW0	4011	0.69 (0.51 to 1.20)	$1.09 \pm 0.002$	0.017	0.133	12.16
BW1	4011	2.31 (0.67 to 2.98)	$1.54 \pm 0.004$	0.079	0.281	18.23
BW2	4011	2.60 (2.70 to 5.30)	$2.80 \pm 0.008$	0.273	0.523	18.64
BW3	4011	4.10 (3.10 to 7.20)	$4.13 \pm 0.011$	0.564	0.751	18.16
BW4	4009	5.60 (4.20 to 9.80)	$5.47 \pm 0.016$	1.031	1.051	18.54
BW5	3956	6.90 (5.70 to 12.60)	$6.36 \pm 0.015$	0.924	0.961	15.09
BW6	3956	7.30 (5.90 to 13.200	$7.31 \pm 0.017$	1.163	1.078	14.73
BW7	3948	8.40 (8.00 to 16.40)	$8.38 \pm 0.020$	1.621	1.273	15.18
BW8	3902	9.40 (9.40 to 18.80)	$9.74 \pm 0.028$	3.271	1.808	18.56
BW12	3244	14.60 (17.40 to 32.00)	$14.37 \pm 0.052$	8.99	2.999	20.87
BW16	2781	19.40 (19.60 to 39.00)	$20.37 \pm 0.081$	18.45	4.295	21.08
BW20	1749	25.20 (20.00 to 45.20)	$28.98 \pm 0.165$	47.86	6.91	23.87
BW24	1598	34.9 (20.70 to 55.60)	$35.49 \pm 0.235$	88.81	9.424	26.50
		Reproductive	Traits (days)			
AFF	232	372 (287 to 650)	$362.55 \pm 6.47$	9295.78	96.41	26.65
FI	232	217 (150 to 367)	$248.55 \pm 2.79$	1729.96	41.59	16.77
		Litter '	Traits			
LSAB	343	8 (4 to 12)	$7.69 \pm 0.098$	3.277	1.81	23.56
LSAW	335	7 (5 to 12)	$7.39 \pm 0.098$	3.220	1.79	24.31
LWAB (kg)	343	10.45 (4.05 to 14.50)	$8.68 \pm 0.121$	5.021	2.24	25.85
LWAW (kg)	335	72.6 (26 to 98.6)	$55.61 \pm 0.90$	271.67	16.48	29.68

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Productive in 75% Crossbred Large White Yorkshire Pigs

BW0 = Birth weight; BW1 = Body weight at 1st week; BW2 = Body weight at 2nd week; BW3 = Body weight at 3rd week; BW4 = Body weight at 4th week; BW5 = Body weight at 5th week; BW6 = Body weight at 6th week; BW7 = Body weight at 7th week; BW8 = Body weight at 8th week; BW12 = Body weight at 12 weeks; BW16 = Body weight at 16 weeks; BW20 = Body weight at 20 weeks; BW24 = Body weight at 24 weeks of age.

in Purebred LWY and crossbred LWY x Desi Pigs. Period and season of birth significantly influenced the body weights at both pre and post weaning stages.

#### **Effect of Period**

Period of birth had significant (p <0.01 to 0.05) influence on body weights at all ages (BW0 to BW24) in 75% crossbred LWY (SVVU-T17) piglets. The present findings corroborated with the results of Kumari and Rao. (2010b); Reddy et al. (2013); Rokde et al. (2013); Jayasree et al. (2019) in LWY X Desi crossbred pigs Pandey et al. (2010), Mondal and Kumar (2015); Naha et al. (2017); Chaudhary et al. (2019) in Landrace x Desi pigs. In contrast to the present findings, Ganesan et al. (2013) in crossbred LWY; Chakurkar et al. (2021) in 75% LWY-Indigenous Agondagoan pigs; reported non-significant effect of period on body weights.

The mean body weights ranged from 1.04 (P4) to 1.12 (P2) at BW0, 1.51 (P2) to 2.06(P1) at BW1, 2.81 (P3) to 3.10 (P1) at BW2, 4.24(P3) to 4.45 (P4) at BW3, 5.34 (P4) to 5.91 (P2) at BW4, 6.28 (P4) to 6.70 (P2) at BW5, 7.40 (P4) to 7.63 (P1) at BW6, 8.22 (P4) to 8.77 (P1) at BW7, 9.57 (P3) to 10.15 (P4) at BW8, 13.82 (P2) to 16.59 (P1) at BW12, 21.29 (P2) to 26.19 (P1) at BW16, 26.02 (P2) to 34.95 (P1) at BW20 and from 30.16 (P2) to 42.58 (P1) at 24 weeks of age. Highest mean body weights were recorded in Period 1 followed by period 4 in this study. Declining trend of body weights in period 2 and period 3 regained highest weights in period 4 might be probably because of continuous selection and better managemental practices followed in the farm during this period. The body weight differences among piglets born in different periods in our study may be attributed to differences in management, selection of sires and environmental conditions etc.

#### Effect of Season of Birth

Season of birth had significant influence (P<0.05) on body weights from birth to 24 weeks of age (BW0 to BW24). The piglets born in rainy season recorded significantly higher body weights (kg) at birth (1.11); BW1 (1.60); BW2 (2.90); BW3 (4.25); BW4 (5.59); BW5 (6.48); BW6 (7.48); BW7 (8.48); BW12 (14.56); BW16 (20.91); BW20 (29.77) and BW24 (37.00) weeks of age compared to piglets born in summer and winter seasons. The piglets born in summer season recorded lowest mean body weights (kg) at almost all ages except at birth. Mean body weights (kg) varied from 1.07 (winter) to 1.11 (rainy) at BW0, 1.53 (summer) to 1.60 (rainy) at BW1, 2.73(summer) to 2.90 (rainy) at BW2, 4.03 (summer) to 4.25 (rainy) at BW3, 5.35 to 5.59 at BW4, 6.23 to 6.48 at BW5, 7.17 to 7.48 at BW6, 8.28 to 8.54 at BW7, 9.75 to 9.93 at BW8, 13.96 to 14.80 at BW12, 19.45 to 20.91 at BW16, 28.04 to 29.77 at BW20 and 35.46 to 37.0 at BW24 weeks of age.

The significant effect of season of birth on body weights was also reported earlier by Kumari and Rao. (2010b); Ganesan et al. (2013); Rokde et al. (2013 in LWY X Desi crossbred pigs; Pandey et al. (2010); Naha et al. (2017) in Landrace x Desi; Khalkho and Singh. (2007); Kumar et al. (2010) in Tamworth x Desi. The piglets born during March to June (summer season) had lowest mean values for body weights in this study might be due to sows in advanced pregnancy exposed to harsh environment and the stress might not have provided required prenatal environment to the fast-growing piglets and this might have partially contributed to lower body weights. Significant effect of season of birth on body weights at different ages has indicated a favourable effect of rainy season.

**Table 2**: Least-squares means ± standard error for body weight (kg) traits in 75% Crossbred LWY (SVVU-T17) pig

Table 2. Least-s	quare			stanua	aru ci		bouy	weigi	•		iits iii	13/0			-W I (S		
EFFECT		BW	_			BW1			_	N2			BW			BW4	
	N	Mean			N	Mean		N		an		N		ın SE	N	Mean	SE
Overall	4011	1.09	0.0	003	4.11	1.54	0.004	4011	2.7	8	0.009	4011	4.11	0.01	3 4011	5.44	0.017
Period of birth	*				*			*				*			*		
P1(2005-2008)	523	1.08a	0.0	007	523	$2.06^{b}$	0.010	523	3.1	$0^{c}$	0.022	523	4.28	b 0.03	31 523	5.40a	0.041
P2(2009-2012)	1398	1.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.0	004	1398	1.51a	0.006	1398	2.9	5 <sup>b</sup>	0.014	1398	3 4.41		0 1398	5.91°	0.026
P3(2013-2016)	_	1.10 <sup>b</sup>	_			1.48a		1234	_	_	0.17	1234			24 1234		0.032
P4(2017-2020)	856	1.04ª	_		856	1.56ª	0.009		2.8		0.018		4.45		29 856	5.34ª	0.038
Season of birth	*	1.04	0.0		*	1.50	0.007	*	2.0.		0.010	*	11.13	0.02	*	3.34	0.050
Summer (Mar-June)	1202	1.09 <sup>b</sup>	10.0	004	1202	1.53ª	0.007	1293	2.7	2a	0.014	1202	3 4.03	a 0.00	21 1293	5 25a	0.027
		1.09				1.60 <sup>b</sup>		1293	_			1293			20 1226		0.027
Rainy (July-Oct)		_	_					1492	_			_	_		8 1491		_
Winter (Nov-Feb)	1492	1.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.0		1492	1.55	0.006	_	2.7	3"	0.013	1492	2 4.07	0.01		3.39	0.024
Parity		1.100	10.		NS		0.005	NS	10.5	_	0.010	NS	- 1 4 10	0.01	NS	T 42	0.001
1		1.10a			2275		_	2275	_				4.10		6 2275		0.021
2		1.09a	0.0	004	1736	1.52	0.006	1736	2.8	0	0.012	1736	4.13	0.01	7 1736	5.48	0.022
Sex of piglet	*				*			*				*			*		
Male	_	1.10 <sup>b</sup>	_			1.57 <sup>b</sup>		2044	_	_	0.013	2044	4.17		9 2044		0.025
Female	1967	1.09a	0.0		1967	1.52ª	0.007	1967	2.7	7 <sup>a</sup>	0.013	1967	4.07	a 0.01	9 1966	5.39 <sup>a</sup>	0.025
Inbreeding (%)	*				*			*				*			*		
1 (Fx = 0)	1960	1.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.0	004	1960	1.60°	0.006	1960	2.8	3 <sup>b</sup>	0.012	1960	4.13	b 0.17	1959	5.46 <sup>b</sup>	0.023
2 (Fx = > 0  to  3)	1522	1.07a	0.0	004	1522	1.50a	0.007	1522	2.7	2ª	0.013	1522	2 4.03	a 0.19	1522	5.33a	0.025
3 (Fx = >3)	529	1.15°	_		529	1.54 <sup>b</sup>	0.009		3.0		0.019		4.60			6.18°	0.036
EFFECT	02)	BW		,,,,	02)	BW6		1020	BV		0.01)	02)	BW8	10.27	1020	0.10	10.020
LITECT	N	Me		SE	N	Mear	_	N	Me		SE	N	Mean	SE			
Overall	3956	_	_		3956	7.38		0 3948			.024		9.85	0.03			
Period of birth	3730	*	0	.017	3730	*	0.02	0 3740		*	.024	3702	*	0.03			
	510		(a   0	0.42	£10		100	5 517			050	512		0.00			
P1(2005-2008)	519	_		.042	519	7.63°							10.10 <sup>c</sup>	0.08			
P2(2009-2012)	1369				1369	7.49al					.037		9.80 <sup>b</sup>	0.05			
P3(2013-2016)	1223			.033	1223	7.50 <sup>b</sup>						1193		0.06			
P4(2017-2020)	845		$8^{a}$ 0	.039	845	$7.40^{a}$	0.04	1 845			.054	845	10.15°	0.06			
Season of birth		*				*				k			*				
Summer (Mar-June)	1277	7 6.2	3ª 0	.028	1277	$7.17^{a}$					.038		9.75 <sup>a</sup>	0.05			
Rainy (July-Oct)	1207	6.4	8° 0	.027	1207	$7.48^{b}$	0.03	3 1200	8.4	8 <sup>b</sup> 0	.037	1178	9.75a	0.05			
Winter (Nov-Feb)	1472	2 6.3	9 <sup>b</sup> 0	.024	1472	7.41 <sup>b</sup>	0.02	2 1460	8.5	4 <sup>b</sup> 0	.033	1456	$9.93^{b}$	0.04			
Parity		NS				NS			*	k			*				
1	2235	6.3	1 0	.021	2235	7.27	0.02	2 2223	8 8.2	0a 0	.028	2222	9.39a	0.03			
2	1721			.022	1721	7.42	0.02		0 8.6				10.26 <sup>b</sup>	0.04			
Sex of piglet		NS				NS			N				NS				
Male	2010			.026	2010	7.42	0.03	3 200	_		0.03	1979	9.90	0.04			
Female	1946				1946	7.35	0.03					1925	9.78	0.05			
Inbreeding (%)	1770	*	5 0	.023	1770	*	0.0.	174		r <i>5</i>   (	7.03	1723	*	0.03			
1 (Fx = 0)	1939		Up U	.023	1939		0.02	6 193			022	1000		0.04			
					1494			0 1493									
2 (Fx = >0  to  3)	1494													0.04			
3 (Fx = >3)	523		6 0	.037	523	7.47 <sup>b</sup>		4 519	8.7	6 0			10.62°	0.07			
EFFECT		BW12			BW1			3W20			BW2		_				
		Mean				n SE						ın SI					
Overall	3244	14.57	0.06	2781	20.4	9 0.09	1749	29.13	0.13	1598	35.9	0.1	7				
Period of birth		*			*			*			*						
P1(2005-2008)	105	16.59 <sup>d</sup>	0.28	111	26.19	9° 0.43	81	34.95°	0.59	56	42.5	8 <sup>d</sup> 0.7	4				
P2(2009-2012)	1253	13.82ª	0.09	909		9a 0.12		26.02ª	0.26	332	30.1	6ª 0.3	3				
P3(2013-2016)	1041	14.45 <sup>b</sup>	0.11	919	24.57	7 <sup>b</sup> 0.20	647	30.47 <sup>b</sup>	0.22	584	37.9	1 <sup>b</sup> 0.2	8				
P4(2017-2020)	845	15.34°	0.12	842	25.72	2° 0.14	642	32.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.24	626	41.3	6° 0.2	:9				
Season of birth		*			*			*			*						
Summer (Mar-	1059	13.96ª	0.09	913	19 4	5ª 0.14	349	28 04ª	0.31	340	34 6	4ª 0.3	8				
June)	100)	10.70	0.07	710	17	0.1	5.5	20.0.	0.01	0.0		.   0.5					
Rainy (July-Oct)	964	14 56b	0.09	823	20.9	1 <sup>b</sup> 0.14	605	29 77 <sup>b</sup>	0.22	510	37.0	0 <sup>b</sup> 0.2	9				
Winter (Nov-Feb)						2 <sup>b</sup> 0.13											
Parity	1221	*	0.08	1043	NS	2 0.13	193	NS	0.10	740	NS						
	1005	12.758	0.00	1705		2 0 00	1222		0.16	110/	_		10				
1						3 0.09											
2	1259		0.08	996		3 0.15	426		0.25	412		8 0.3	1				
Sex of piglet		NS			NS			NS			NS						
	1671					3 0.12		29.70		771		33 0.2					
Male			10.00	11260	1 20 1	9 0.12	890	29.30	0.21	827	36.4	14 0.2	:7				
Female	1573	14.29	0.09	1308	20.1	, 0.12				_							
Female Inbreeding (%)		*			*			*			*						
Female Inbreeding (%)		*			*				0.20	836		0ª 0.2	23				
Female Inbreeding (%) 1 (Fx = 0)	1466	* 14.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.09	1192	* 20.44	4ª 0.15	869	28.76ª			35.8						
Female Inbreeding (%)	1466 1277	* 14.42 <sup>b</sup> 14.29 <sup>a</sup>	0.09	1192 1126	* 20.44 20.25		869 2 675 2	28.76 <sup>a</sup> 29.60 <sup>b</sup>	0.20	608	35.8 35.7		:5				

<sup>\*</sup>Highly significant (p<0.01); \*Significant (p<0.05); NS- Non-significant Means carrying same superscript within each effect do not differ significantly; N = Number of observations, BW0 = Birth wt; BW1 = Body wt at 1st week; BW2 = Body wt at 2nd week; BW3 = Body wt at 3rd week; BW4 = Body wt at 4th week; BW5 = Body wt at 5th week; BW6 = Body wt at 6th week; BW7 = Body wt at 7th week; BW8 = Body wt at 8th week; BW12 = Body wt at 12 weeks; BW16 = Body wt at 16 weeks; BW20 = Body wt at 20 weeks

#### **Effect of Parity**

Significant effect of parity was noticed on body weights at birth (BW0), BW7, BW8 and BW12 weeks of age only, but not on body weights at remaining ages in this study. Parity 2 recorded highest mean body weights at majority of ages. An increasing trend in body weights observed with increase in parity. Mean values ranged from 1.08 to 1.10 at BW0, 1.52 to 1.55 at BW1, 2.79 to 2.80 at BW2, 4.10 to 4.13 at BW3, 5.43 to 5.48 at BW4, 6.31 to 6.43 at BW5, 7.27 to 7.42 at BW6, 8.20 to 8.68 at BW7, 9.39 to 10.26 at BW8, 13.75 to 15.20 at BW12, 20.13 to 20.33 at BW16, 26.48 to 29.39 at BW20 and 31.27 to 36.68 at BW24 weeks of age in this study. Significant effect of parity on body weights observed in this study was in accordance with the findings of Reddy et al. (2013); Jayasree et al. (2019) in LWY X Desi crossbred pigs, Kumar et al. (2010) in Tamworth x Desi. Parity 2 recorded highest mean body weights than parity 1 in this study might be due to the lower body weights of piglets born during early parities which indicated poor growth due to lesser intrauterine space in young sows. Piglet growth improved after first parity in sows.

#### Effect of Sex

Sex of the piglet significantly influenced (P<0.01 to 0.05) the pre weaning body weights from birth to 4 weeks of age only (BW0 to BW4) but not on body weights at the remaining ages from BW5 to BW24 weeks of age. The mean body weights for males were higher than the corresponding values for females at all age groups. The least squares means for body weights (kg) at birth (BW0), BW1, BW2, BW3, BW4, BW5, BW6, BW7, BW8, BW12, BW16, BW20 and BW24 weeks of age in males were 1.10, 1.57, 2.82, 4.17, 5.51, 6.43, 7.42, 8.51, 9.90, 14.46, 20.53, 29.70 and 36.83, respectively and the corresponding means in females were 1.09, 1.52, 2.77, 4.07, 5.39, 6.35, 7.35, 8.43, 9.78, 14.29, 20.19, 29.30 and 36.44, respectively (Table 2). Males have shown better performance than females (Hafeez, 1993) due to the anabolic effect of hormones. In relation to endocrinal system, estrogen hormone has a limited effect on the growth of long bones in females. That could be one of the reasons in which females have smaller body and lighter weight against males. Apart from this, another factor attributed is that, since, more intense selection is practiced in males for breeding than in females, this may lead to widening of difference among them as age advances. These findings are in concurrence with the results of Jayasree et al. (2019) in Crossbred LWY X Desi pigs.

# **Inbreeding**

Inbreeding co-efficient (Fx) values in the population varied from 0 to 25.5 percent with an overall mean of 0.36 percent. The proportion of inbred animals and F values were low compared to an earlier study at the same farm (F=5.82%; Kumari et al., 1994) in Indigenous pigs. Periodical introduction of new germplasm in the form of boars for breeding from other farms (ICAR-AICRP on Pigs, Kattupakkam, Tamil Nadu) could be the possible reason for the lower inbreeding co-efficient obtained in the present study. Effect of Inbreeding was evident (P3%) at all the ages compared to other two groups (Fx = 0; >0 to 3%) and the lowest mean body weights were observed in Group 2 (Fx = > 0 to 3%). The inbred animals produced piglets with higher body weights than non-inbred parents. The body weights of piglets born to the parents with F value > 3 percent were heavier than the other two groups (Fx = 0: and > 0 to 3%). The level of Inbreeding accumulated in the present study has no adverse effect on the growth rate of piglets. Further, the piglets with higher Fx values exhibited higher body weights compared to other two groups. Greater performance of piglets in group 3 might be due to the intense selection of sires and systematic breeding programmes coupled with improved managemental practices.

#### Conclusion

The analysis of productive traits in Crossbred pigs revealed that the criteria like Parity, Period and Season of birth has noticeable effect among productive traits in Crossbred Large White Yorkshire (LWY) pigs, which suggests that these factors play a crucial role in determining the growth performance of crossbred pigs. The least squares mean body weights of Large White Yorkshire (LWY) crosses improved significantly from birth to weaning, and after weaning up to 24 weeks of age which implies a consistent growth pattern in the piglets during the entire preweaning and post weaning period. The current findings provide valuable insights into the factors affecting body weights in crossbred pigs, signifying the influence of environmental conditions and the potential for formulating breeding strategies and genetic improvement programmes in pigs.

### References

- 1) Borah, P., Bora, J.R., Haque, A., Borpuzari, R., Hazarika, S., Konwar, P. 2022. Effect of housing system on carcass characteristics of crossbred (Hampshire x Assam local) pigs. Journal of Livestock Science 13: 208-212 doi. 10.33259/JLivestSci.2022.208-212
- 2) Chakurkar, E. B., Sahu, A. R., Naik, S., HB, C. K., Gokuldas, P. P. (2021). Genetic evaluation of growth and reproductive performances of crossbred pigs reared under intensive system in tropical humid coastal climate. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 53:1-10.
- 3) Chaudhary, R., Prakash, V., Sailo, L., Singh, A., Karthikeyan, A., Bashir, A., Kumar, A. (2019). Estimation of genetic parameters and breeding values for growth traits using random regression model in Landrace x desi crossbred pigs. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences, 89: 1104-1108.

- 4) Chaudhary, R., Sailo, L., Singh, A., Karthikeyan, A., Mehrotra, A., Mondal, S. K., Kumar, A. (2020). Genetic parameter estimates for growth performance of crossbred piglets. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences, 90: 102-104.
- 5) Ganesan, R., Dhanavanthan, P., Kiruthika, P., Kumarasamy, P., Murugan, M., Jaishankar, S. (2013). Factors influencing growth performance and estimation of genetic parameters in crossbred pigs. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, 5: 63-71.
- 6) Ganesan, R., Dhanavanthan, P., Kiruthika, P., Kumarasamy, P., Murugan, M., Jaishankar, S. (2013). Factors influencing growth performance and estimation of genetic parameters in crossbred pigs. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, 5: 63-71.
- 7) Jayarajan, S. (1985). Genetic studies on large White Yorkshire pigs in Livestock Research Station. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- 8) Jayasree, P., Sivaselvam, S. N., Venkataramanan, R., Sundaram, S. M., Gowrimanokari, K. V., Arthy, V., Balasubramanyam, D. (2019). Genetic evaluation including maternal effects in Large White Yorkshire× desi crossbred pigs under hot and humid conditions of India. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences, 89: 752-757.
- 9) Kumari, B. P., Rao, D. S. (2010b). Factors influencing pre and post weaning growth rates in Large White Yorkshire× indigenous pigs. Indian Veterinary Journal, 87: 614-615.
- 10) Muhindro Singh, N. 2023. Study on status of small scale pig rearing in upland tribal areas of North East India, Journal of Livestock Science 14: 47-52 doi. 10.33259/JLivestSci.2023.47-52
- 11) Matabane, M. B., Nephawe, K. A., Thomas, R. S., Maqhashu, A., Ramakhithi, F. V., Netshirova, T. R. (2018). Pre-weaning growth performance of piglets at smallholder farms in Gauteng province. Journal of Agricultural Science, 10:18.
- 12) Mondal, S. K., Kumar, A. (2015). Genetic evaluation of pre-weaning growth traits in Landrace X Desi piglets. Indian Journal of Animal Research, 49: 273-275.
- 13) Nachare, V.V., Sonawane, G.S., Jadhav, S.N., Doiphode, A.Y., Shende, T.C., Shelar, R.R., Nimbalkar, V.G., S.M. Bhokre 2024. Oxidative stress and NRF2 gene expression in blood of indigenous pigs. Journal of Livestock Science 15: 111-119 doi. 10.33259/JLivestSci.2024.111-119
- 14) Naha, B. C., Gaur, G. K., Patel, B. H. M., Sahoo, N. R. (2017). Growth and litter traits in crossbred pigs across the non-genetic factors. Indian Journal of Animal Research, 51: 798-800.
- 15) Pandey, A., Singh, S. K. (2010). Factors affecting body weight in landrace, desi and their half-bred pigs. International Journal of Molecular Biology, 2:21-24.
- 16) Reddy, P.R.P., M. G. P., Prakash, M. G., Kumari, B. P., Sur, J., Suresh, J., Bharathi, A. (2013). Genetic Analysis of Reproductive Performance in Crossbred Pigs. Indian journal of Animal Research, 47: 226-230.
- 17) Rokde, N. K., Thakur, M. S., Parmar, S. N. S., Tomar, S. S. (2013). Effect of various genetic and non-genetic factors on reproductive traits in Large White Yorkshire crossbred and Tamworth crossbred pigs. Journal of Animal Research, 3: 173-178.